

Appl. No. 10/604,599
Amdt. dated January 05, 2006
Reply to Office action of 12/06/2005

Remarks/Arguments

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. In the Office Action, mailed August 23, 2005, the examiner rejected claims 21-40. By this amendment, claims 21 - 40 remain in this response and independent claims 21 and 31 are amended to recite the features in the wherein clause for overcoming the rejections of the patentability. No new matter is entered.

Concerning the patentability of the amended independent claim 21 with respect to the prior art of record (Lee -US 2003/0234795), the amended claim 21 includes a wherein clause reciting that both of the input color and the output color are in a RGB format including R, G, and B components respectively representing red, green, and blue colors. Therefore, the claimed invention is for converting colors from RGB format to RGB format. As Lee discloses an apparatus and method for converting pixels from YUV format to RGB format, applicants assert currently amended independent claim 21 should be allowable over Lee because YUV format is obviously different from RGB format to one of ordinary skill in the art. A similar argument applies to currently amended independent claim 31, and the dependent claims should be allowable for at least the same reasons. Consideration of pending claims 21-40 is respectfully requested.

Sincerely yours,


Ming-Yuh Yeh, Patent Counsel

Date: 2005. Dec. 9